

Gastric cancer Perioperative Therapy 2020

ARUN NAGARAJAN, M.D. GASTROINTESTINAL ONCOLOGY SERVICE CLEVELAND CLINIC, WESTON, FLORIDA



Time line of important clinical trials : 2001-2020

: ADJUVANT AND NEO-ADJUVANT CHEMORADIATION

: ADJUVANT AND NEO-ADJUVANT CHEMOTHERAPY ALONE







2001 Post-operative Chemoradiation: SWOG 9008/Intergroup 0116 Trial



Lymphadenectomy

- 70 % of specimens have nodal metastasis
- D0 No lymphadenectomy
- D1 LN included in the greater and lesser omentum
- D2 LN along the splenic and hepatic arteries, omental bursa and front leaf of the transverse mesocolon. Splenectomy in proximal cancers
- D3 Removal of para-aortic and retroperitoneal LN



Cleveland Clinic

2006 Neoadjuvant chemoradiation

Phase II Trial of Preoperative Chemoradiation in Patients With Localized Gastric Adenocarcinoma (RTOG 9904): Quality of Combined Modality Therapy and Pathologic Response Cleveland Clinic

- Phase II clinical trial J Ajani JCO 2006
- Primary aim: Achievement of a 20 % pathologic complete response in a multinstitutional setting
- Rigorous staging: EUS, laparoscopy, peritoneal lavage
- 20 institutions 43 patients
- 5FU + Cisplatin >>> 4500 cGY + 5fu >>> Surgery
- 50 % had a D2 Lymphadenectomy
- Median follow up 21.6 months
- Path Cr 26 %
- R0 resection 77 %
- Grade 4 toxicity 21%

Phase II Trial of Preoperative Chemoradiation in Patients With Localized Gastric Adenocarcinoma (RTOG 9904): Quality of Combined Modality Therapy and Pathologic Response





Cleveland Clinic

Median survival 23.2 months Overall survival 72% at one year Patients who had a pathologic complete response had an Improved survival Phase II Trial of Preoperative Chemoradiation in Patients With Localized Gastric Adenocarcinoma (RTOG 9904): Quality of Combined Modality Therapy and Pathologic Response





Site	No. of Patients	%
Turnor bed (with or without regional nodes)	8	19
Metastases	13	30
Nodes and regional	1	2
Death only (due to study cancer)	1	2
Death only (not due to study cancer)	2	5
No failure	18	42

Patients who underwent an R0 resection had an improved survival

Phase II Trial of Preoperative Chemoradiation in Patients With Localized Gastric Adenocarcinoma (RTOG 9904): Quality of Combined Modality Therapy and Pathologic Response Cleveland Clinic

- Conclusion
 - A preoperative chemoradiotherapy strategy proved to be succesful in a cooperative group setting with acheivement of a pathological complete response rate of over 20 %
- Critiques
 - Small number of patients
 - Phase II trial
 - No comparison arm

Based on this results, a preoperative chemoradiation strategy is to be compared with adjuvant chemoradiation in a phase III clinical trial



2006

Cunningham et al., NEJM 2006

Peri-operative Chemotherapy: The MRC MAGIC Trial



2013

31 May – 4 Jun 2013 | Chicago, USA ASCO Annual Meeting Cleveland Clinic





O-0007: Adjuvant capecitabine and oxaliplatin (XELOX) for gastric cancer after D2 gastrectomy: final results from the CLASSIC trial – *Noh SH et al*

- Study objective
 - To prospectively examine adjuvant capecitabine+oxaliplatin vs. surgery alone in patients with gastric cancer included in the CLASSIC trial



Primary endpoint

• 3-year OS (previously reported)

Secondary endpoints

• 5-year OS, 5-year DFS, safety



O-0007: Adjuvant capecitabine and oxaliplatin (XELOX) for gastric cancer after D2 gastrectomy: final results from the CLASSIC trial – *Noh SH et al*

- Key results
 - The 5-year OS rate for XELOX was significantly higher vs. surgery alone (78 vs. 69%, p=0.0029)
 - There was a 34% reduction in risk of death with XELOX vs. surgery alone (stratified HR 0.66, 95% CI: 0.51, 0.85; p=0.0015)

	(OS: subgroup analysis	n	Estimate (lower & upper confidence limit)
	All	⊢	1035	0.68 (0.53, 0.88)
Country	China/Taiwan South Korea		125 910	0.66 (0.35, 1.27) 0.67 (0.51, 0.88)
Stage of dise	ease Stage II Stage IIIA Stage IIIB		515 377 143	0.54 (0.34, 0.87) 0.75 (0.52, 1.10) 0.67 (0.39, 1.13)
Age group	<65 years ≥65 years		766 269	0.67 (0.50, 0.91) 0.70 (0.44, 1.12)
Sex	Female Male		304 731	0.93 (0.57, 1.51) 0.60 (0.45, 0.81)
Nodal status	N0 N1/2		103 932	0.79 (0.32, 1.95) 0.67 (0.51, 0.87)
Weight grou	p <57 kg ≥57 kg		508 527	0.67 (0.47, 0.95) 0.68 (0.47, 0.99)
		0.4 0.6 1.0	2.0	

Noh et al. Ann Oncol 2013; 24(4): iv11-iv24, O-0007



O-0007: Adjuvant capecitabine and oxaliplatin (XELOX) for gastric cancer after D2 gastrectomy: final results from the CLASSIC trial – *Noh SH et al*

- Key results (continued)
 - The 5-year relapse free rate was significantly higher with XELOX vs. surgery alone (68 vs. 53%; p<0.0001)
 - There was a 42% reduction in risk of relapse with XELOX vs. surgery alone (stratified HR 0.58, 95% CI: 0.47, 0.72; p<0.0001)
- Conclusions
 - Adjuvant XELOX provided a DFS benefit that translated to an OS benefit
 - The 34% (HR 0.66) reduction in risk of death at 5 years was greater than that previously reported at 3 years (28%, HR 0.72)
 - Postoperative adjuvant therapy with XELOX was an effective and well-tolerated option for patients with operable stage II / III gastric cancer following D2 gastrectomy
 - Adjuvant XELOX should be considered as a standard treatment for patients with operable gastric cancer

16 – 18 Jan 2014 | San Francisco, USA Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium

Cleveland Clinic



31 May – 3 Jun 2014 | Chicago, USA ASCO Annual Meeting



4008: Phase III trial to compare capecitabine/cisplatin (XP) versus XP plus concurrent capecitabineradiotherapy in gastric cancer (GC): The final report on the ARTIST trial – Lee J et al

- Study objective
 - To determine whether the addition of RT to capecitabine/cisplatin CT can improve survival in patients with D2 dissected gastric cancer



Secondary endpoints

• OS, toxicity profile, exploratory biomarkers

Primary endpoint

• 3-year DFS



4008: Phase III trial to compare capecitabine/cisplatin (XP) versus XP plus concurrent capecitabineradiotherapy in gastric cancer (GC): The final report on the ARTIST trial – Lee J et al

• Key results

Survival (CT+RT vs CT alone)	HR (95% CI)	p value*
DFS	0.74 (0.52, 1.05)	0.9222
OS	1.13 (95% CI: 0.78, 1.65)	0.5272

- 3-year DFS for CT+RT vs CT alone:
 - In lymph node-positive disease (n=396) was 76% vs 72% (p=0.04)
 - In intestinal type gastric cancer (n=163) was 94% vs 83% (p=0.001; Figure)



DFS by Lauren classification

Lee et al. J Clin Oncol 2014; 32 (suppl 5; abstr 4008)



4008: Phase III trial to compare capecitabine/cisplatin (XP) versus XP plus concurrent capecitabineradiotherapy in gastric cancer (GC): The final report on the ARTIST trial – Lee J et al

• Key results

Crade 2.4 AEe p (%)	CT (N	I=226)	CT+RT (N=227)		
Grade 5–4 AES, II (%)	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 3	Grade 4	
Nausea	28 (12)	0	28 (12)	0	
Vomiting	8 (4)	0	7 (3)	0	
Diarrhoea	4 (2)	1 (0)	2 (1)	0	
Stomatitis	3 (1)	0	4 (2)	0	
Constipation	2 (1)	0	2 (1)	0	
Hand-foot syndrome	5 (2)		7 (3)		
Anaemia	3 (1)	1 (0)	1 (0)	0	
Neutropenia	79 (35)	13 (6)	99 (44)	11 (5)	
Thrombocytopenia	0	0	2 (1)	0	

- Conclusions
 - Overall, this trial was negative with no significant difference in DFS with the addition of RT to CT compared with CT alone
 - Subgroup analyses showed a potential benefit of RT in patients with intestinal type and lymph node-positive gastric cancer







*3 cycles of ECC (epirubicin, cisplatin/oxaliplatin + capecitabine); [†]45 Gy in 25 fractions + cisplatin g1w + capecitabine gd.

4000: A multicenter randomized phase III trial of neo-adjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgery and chemotherapy or by surgery and chemoradiotherapy in resectable gastric cancer: First results from the CRITICS study – Verheij M, et al

Study objective

To investigate the efficacy and safety of CRT vs CT following neo-adjuvant CT and surgery in patients with resectable GC





4000: A multicenter randomized phase III trial of neo-adjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgery and chemotherapy or by surgery and chemoradiotherapy in resectable gastric cancer: First results from the CRITICS study – Verheij M, et al

Key results

- Treatment completed: 46% with CT vs 55% with CRT
- After a median follow-up of 50 months, 405 patients had died

	СТ	CRT
5-year OS, %	41.3	40.9
p-value	0.	99
Grade ≥3 AEs	СТ	CRT
Haematological, %	44	34
p-value	0.	01
Gastrointestinal, %	37	42
p-value	0.	14

Conclusion

- Only ~50% of patients completed the treatment
- No significant difference in OS was observed between postoperative CT vs CRT in patients with resectable GC

Cleveland Clinic





Cleveland Clinic 4004: Perioperative chemotherapy with docetaxel, oxaliplatin, and fluorouracil/ leucovorin (FLOT) versus epirubicin, cisplatin, and fluorouracil or capecitabine (ECF/ECX) for resectable gastric or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) adenocarcinoma (FLOT4-AIO): A multicenter, randomized phase 3 – Al-Batran S-E, et al

Study objective

(n=716)

•

OS

2 doses D1–D21) q3w

FLOT: docetaxel 50 mg/m² D1; 5FU 2600 mg/m² D1; leucovorin 200 mg/m² D1; oxaliplatin 85 mg/m² D1q2w

ECF/ECX: epirubicin 50 mg/m² D1; cisplatin 60 mg/m² D1; 5FU 200 mg/m² (or capecitabine 1250 mg/m2 PO divided into

• To evaluate the efficacy of FLOT vs. ECF/ECX as a perioperative treatment for patients with resectable gastric or GEJ adenocarcinoma



PFS, complete resection rate, surgical • morbidity/mortality, safety

4004: Perioperative chemotherapy with docetaxel, oxaliplatin, and fluorouracil/ leucovorin (FLOT) versus epirubicin, cisplatin, and fluorouracil or capecitabine (ECF/ECX) for resectable gastric or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) adenocarcinoma (FLOT4-AIO): A multicenter, randomized phase 3 – AI-Batran S-E, et al



Al-Batran S-E, et al. J Clin Oncol 2017;35(Suppl):Abstr 4004

4004: Perioperative chemotherapy with docetaxel, oxaliplatin, and fluorouracil/ leucovorin (FLOT) versus epirubicin, cisplatin, and fluorouracil or capecitabine (ECF/ECX) for resectable gastric or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) adenocarcinoma (FLOT4-AIO): A multicenter, randomized phase 3 – AI-Batran S-E, et al



Key results (cont.)

Al-Batran S-E, et al. J Clin Oncol 2017;35(Suppl):Abstr 4004

4004: Perioperative chemotherapy with docetaxel, oxaliplatin, and fluorouracil/ leucovorin (FLOT) versus epirubicin, cisplatin, and fluorouracil or capecitabine (ECF/ECX) for resectable gastric or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) adenocarcinoma (FLOT4-AIO): A multicenter, randomized phase 3 – AI-Batran S-E, et al

	ECF/ECX (n=354)	FLOT (n=354)	p-value
Grade 3/4 AEs, n (%)			
Diarrhoea	13 (4)	34 (10)	0.002
Vomiting	27 (8)	7 (2)	<0.001
Nausea	55 (16)	26 (7)	0.001
Fatigue	38 (11)	25 (7)	-
Infections	30 (9)	63 (18)	<0.001
Leukopenia	75 (21)	94 (27)	-
Neutropenia	139 (39)	181 (51)	0.002
Sensory	7 (2)	24 (7)	0.002
Thromboembolic	22 (6)	9 (3)	0.03
Anaemia	20 (6)	9 (3)	0.04
Any SAE, n (%)	220 (62)	215 (61)	-
Treatment-related SAE, n (%)	137 (34)	139 (35)	-
Toxic death, n (%)	2 (<1)	2 (<1)	-

Key results (cont.)

4004: Perioperative chemotherapy with docetaxel, oxaliplatin, and fluorouracil/ leucovorin (FLOT) versus epirubicin, cisplatin, and fluorouracil or capecitabine (ECF/ECX) for resectable gastric or gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) adenocarcinoma (FLOT4-AIO): A multicenter, randomized phase 3 – Al-Batran S-E, et al



Conclusions

- In comparison to ECF/ECX, FLOT demonstrated increased rates of curative surgery and prolonged PFS and OS
- The results with FLOT were consistent across subgroups and sensitivity analyses
- Surgical morbidity and mortality, re-surgeries or hospitalization times were not increased
- In perioperative treatment of patients with adenocarcinoma of the stomach or GEJ, FLOT offers a new standard of care





Cleveland Clinic

Fluorouracil Market Overview

5-FU (5-Fluorouracil)

Discovered in 1957, widely available as generic

IV only

Principal uses: colorectal, breast, gastric, pancreatic, head and neck, ovarian and basal cell cancer

Used in combination with leucovorin, which improves 5-FU antitumor activity

Annual use: 500,000 patients in North America, millions worldwide

UFT ® (tegafur-uracil)

Developed in Japan during the 1980s

Oral, combining uracil (competitive inhibitor of DPD) and tegafur (prodrug of 5-FU)

Approved in 50 countries, except the US

Principal uses: colorectal, breast, gastric, pancreatic, head and neck, liver, ovarian and basal cell cancer

Used in combination with leucovorin

Xeloda® (capecitabine)

Oral, prodrug of 5-FU

On the market since 1998, expected generic in the US by 2013/2014

Principal uses: colorectal, breast and gastric cancer

Not used with leucovorin

Teysuno®

(tegafur-gimeracil-oteracil-potassium)

Oral combination of tegafur (prodrug of 5-FU) plus 2 enzyme inhibitors: gimeracil and oteracil

On the market since 1999 in Japan and since 2011 in Europe.

Principal uses: gastric, colorectal, head and neck, non-small cell lung, breast, pancreatic cancer

Not used with leucovorin

626PD: A randomized phase III trial comparing 4 courses and 8 courses of S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy for p-stage II gastric cancer: JCOG1104 (OPAS-1) – Yoshikawa T, et al

Study objective

• To evaluate the efficacy of 6 vs. 12 months of S-1 adjuvant CT in patients with stage II gastric cancer

Key patient inclusion criteria

- Histologically proven adenocarcinoma of the stomach – stage II (excl. T1N2-3 and T3N0)
- R0 resection
- Surgery by laparotomy (or laparoscopic approach for stage I)
- ECOG PS 0–1

(n=528)

PRIMARY ENDPO

RFS

OS, TTF, safety, proportion of the treatment continuation at each time point

Yoshikawa T, et al. Ann Oncol 2017;28(Suppl 5):Abstr 626PD

*1 course = 4-weeks on, 2-weeks off

Arm A: 8 courses* (1 year) S-1 80 mg/m² (n=262)

Stratification

- Stage (IIA/IIB)
- Age (<70/≥70 years)
- Surgery (open bursectomy/open nonbursectomy/laparoscopic surgery)
- Institution

Arm B: 4 courses* (6 months) S-1 80 mg/m² (n=266)



626PD: A randomized phase III trial comparing 4 courses and 8 courses of S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy for p-stage II gastric cancer: JCOG1104 (OPAS-1) – Yoshikawa T, et al



*Estimated by stratified Cox regression model according to p-stage

Yoshikawa T, et al. Ann Oncol 2017;28(Suppl 5):Abstr 626PD

Cleveland Clinic

Cleveland Clinic 626PD: A randomized phase III trial comparing 4 courses and 8 courses of S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy for p-stage II gastric cancer: JCOG1104 (OPAS-1) – Yoshikawa T, et al Key results (cont.) **0S** 1.0 and the second 0.8 Proportion surviving 0.6 No. events 3-year OS, % (95%CI) 97.7 (92.7, 99.3) Arm A (n=262) 3 91.7 (85.0, 95.5) 0.4 Arm B (n=266) 15 HR 5.18 (95%CI 1.50, 17.90) 0.2 *Estimated by unstratified Cox regression model 0.0 2 Δ 5 0 Years after randomization No. at risk Arm A 262 183 108 57 13 0 14 Arm B 266 173 106 47 0

Conclusion

 In patients with pathological stage II gastric cancer, it is possible to continue postoperative S-1 adjuvant CT for up to 1 year







herapy and/or

4001: ARTIST 2: Interim results of a phase III trial involving adjuvant chemotherapy and/or chemoradiotherapy after D2-gastrectomy in stage II/III gastric cancer (GC) – Park SH, et al

Study objective

To investigate the efficacy and safety of different chemotherapy and chemoradiotherapy regimens in patients with gastric cancer

Key patient inclusion criteria

 Stage II or III, node-positive, D2-resected gastric cancer (n=900*)

Stratification

- Type of surgery (total vs. subtotal gastrectomy)
- Stage (II vs. III)
- Lauren histological classification (diffuse vs. intestinal)

PRIMARY ENDPOINT

• DFS

*Interim analysis of 538 patients; [†]2 cycles prior to adjuvant chemoradiotherapy and 4 cycles after of S-1 40 mg/m² bid (2 weeks on/1 week off) + oxaliplatin 130 mg/m² D1

4001: ARTIST 2: Interim results of a phase III trial involving adjuvant chemotherapy and/or chemoradiotherapy after D2-gastrectomy in stage II/III gastric cancer (GC) – Park SH, et al

4001: ARTIST 2: Interim results of a phase III trial involving adjuvant chemotherapy and/or chemoradiotherapy after D2-gastrectomy in stage II/III gastric cancer (GC) – Park SH, et al

	Grade 3–4 AEs, n (%)	S-1 (n=180)	SOX (n=179)	SOXRT (n=177)
Key results (cont.)	Anaemia	7 (4)	14 (8)	12 (7)
	Neutropenia	2 (1)	5 (3)	5 (3)
	Nausea	2 (1)	2 (1)	0
	Vomiting	1 (1)	4 (2)	0
	Constipation	0	0	0
	Diarrhoea	7 (4)	3 (2)	4 (2)
	Anorexia	5 (3)	7 (4)	2 (1)
	Fatigue	2 (2)	4 (2)	0
	Skin	0	0	0
	Neuropathy	0	21 (12)	11 (6)

Conclusions

- In patients with stage II/III node-positive, D2-resected gastric cancer, adjuvant SOX and SOXRT demonstrated longer DFS than S-1 alone
- All three regimens were generally well tolerated

ESMO 2019 Congress 27 Sept – 01 Oct 2019 | Barcelona, Spain LBA41: Phase III randomized study of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (CT) with docetaxel(D), oxaliplatin(O) and S-1(S) (DOS) followed by surgery and adjuvant S-1, vs surgery and adjuvant S-1, for resectable advanced gastric cancer (GC) (PRODIGY) – Kang Y-K, et al

Study objective

To investigate the efficacy and safety of neoadjuvant chemotherapy with DOS followed by surgery and adjuvant S-1 compared with surgery + adjuvant S-1 in patients with advanced gastric cancer

PRIMARY ENDPOINT

• 3-year PFS (FAS)

*Docetaxel 50 mg/m² iv D1, oxaliplatin 100 mg/mg² iv D1, S-1 40 mg/m² po bid D1–14; [†]gastrectomy + D2 LN dissection

SECONDARY ENDPOINTS

• R0 resection rate, postoperative pathological stage, OS, safety

Kang Y-K, et al. Ann Oncol 2019;30(suppl):abstr LBA41

Cleveland Clinic

LBA41: Phase III randomized study of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (CT) with docetaxel(D), oxaliplatin(0) and S-1(S) (DOS) followed by surgery and adjuvant S-1, vs surgery and adjuvant S-1, for resectable advanced gastric cancer (GC) (PRODIGY) – Kang Y-K, et al

LBA41: Phase III randomized study of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (CT) with docetaxel(D), oxaliplatin(O) and S-1(S) (DOS) followed by surgery and adjuvant S-1, vs surgery and adjuvant S-1, for resectable advanced gastric cancer (GC) (PRODIGY) – Kang Y-K, et al

OS

Cleveland Clinic

LBA41: Phase III randomized study of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (CT) with docetaxel(D), oxaliplatin(O) and S-1(S) (DOS) followed by surgery and adjuvant S-1, vs surgery and adjuvant S-1, for resectable advanced gastric cancer (GC) (PRODIGY) – Kang Y-K, et al Key results (cont.)

	CSC (n=238)	SC (n=246)	Grade ≥3 TEAEs occurring in ≥5%, n (%)	CSC (n=238)
O&C or bypass only	3 (1.4)	18 (7.3)	Haematological	
R2 resection	0	7 (2.9)	Neutropenia	30 (12.6)
R1 resection	5 (2.3)	10 (4.1)	Febrile neutropenia	22 (9.2)
R0 resection	214 (96.4)	211 (85.8)	Gastrointestinal	
Total gastrectomy	120 (56.1)*	120 (56.9)	Diarrhoea	12 (5.0)
Subtotal gastrectomy	94 (43.9)	91 (43.1)	Treatment-related mortalities	2 (0.8)†
D2 dissection	210 (98.1)	207 (98.1)		
No. of LN dissected, mean (SD)	44.2 (19.5)	50.8 (18.6)		

Conclusion

In patients with advanced gastric or GEJ cancer, the use of neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgery and adjuvant S-1 demonstrated better RO resection rates and tumour downstaging as well as improved PFS compared with surgery followed by adjuvant S-1 and was generally well-tolerated LBA42: Perioperative chemotherapy of oxaliplatin combined with S-1 (SOX) versus postoperative chemotherapy of SOX or oxaliplatin with capecitabine (XELOX) in locally advanced gastric adenocarcinoma with D2 gastrectomy: A randomized phase III trial (RESOLVE trial) – Ji J, et al

Study objective

To investigate the efficacy and safety of perioperative chemotherapy with SOX compared with postoperative chemotherapy with SOX or XELOX in patients with locally advanced gastric cancer

*S-1 40-60 mg bid D1-14 + oxaliplatin 130 mg/mg² iv D1 q3w; [†]D2 gastrectomy; [‡]capecitabine 1000 mg/m² bid D1-14 + oxaliplatin 130 mg/m² iv D1 q3w

Cleveland Clinic

LBA42: Perioperative chemotherapy of oxaliplatin combined with S-1 (SOX) versus postoperative chemotherapy of SOX or oxaliplatin with capecitabine (XELOX) in locally advanced gastric adenocarcinoma with D2 gastrectomy: A randomized phase III trial (RESOLVE trial) – Ji J, et al

	Chemotherapy-related AEs, n (%)	Arm A (n=337)	Arm B (n=340)	Arm C (n=345)	p-value
Key results (cont.)	Neutropenia	118 (40.0)	85 (36.2)	88 (33.9)	0.322
	Leukopenia	85 (28.8)	68 (28.9)	63 (25.2)	0.384
	Thrombocytopenia	93 (31.5)	53 (25.6)	37 (14.2)	< 0.001
	Anaemia	59 (20.0)	39 (16.6)	37 (14.2)	0.198
	Nausea	48 (16.3)	30 (12.8)	45 (17.3)	0.343
	ALT/AST increase	58 (19.7)	28 (11.9)	36 (13.9)	0.036
	Fatigue	30 (10.2)	24 (10.2)	20 (7.7)	0.527
	Vomiting	30 (10.2)	16 (6.8)	25 (9.6)	0.377
	Sensory neuropathy	23 (7.8)	16 (6.8)	21 (8.1)	0.862
	Diarrhoea	16 (5.4)	17 (7.2)	11 (4.2)	0.37

Conclusions

- In patients with locally advanced gastric cancer, perioperative chemotherapy followed surgery and postoperative chemotherapy demonstrated significant improvement in DFS compared with postoperative XELOX while postoperative SOX was found to be non-inferior to postoperative XELOX
- Both perioperative and postoperative SOX showed no differences to postoperative XELOX with regards to surgical morbidity, mortality and safety

279: Extensive peritoneal lavage after curative gastrectomy for gastric cancer study (EXPEL): An international multicenter randomized controlled trial – So JB, et al

Study objective

To evaluate the efficacy and safety of surgery + extensive intraoperative peritoneal lavage (EIPL) in patients with gastric cancer

279: Extensive peritoneal lavage after curative gastrectomy for gastric cancer study (EXPEL): An international multicenter randomized controlled trial – So JB, et al

Cleveland Clinic

279: Extensive peritoneal lavage after curative gastrectomy for gastric cancer study (EXPEL): An international multicenter randomized controlled trial – So JB, et al

Key results (cont.)

The 3-year cumulative incidence of peritoneal recurrence was 7.9% for EIPL and 6.6% for standard lavage (HR 1.33 [95%CI 0.73, 2.42]; p=0.347

AFo p	EIPL			Standard lavage		
AES, 11	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Anastomotic stump leak	2	6	2	0	5	1
Bleeding	1	2	3	2	2	2
Intra-abdominal abscess	3	1	0	2	3	0
Superficial wound infection	5	1	1	1	0	0
Abnormal liver function	3	3	0	0	1	0
Bowel perforation	0	2	1	0	1	0
Pancreatic fistula	1	0	0	0	1	0
Myocardial infarction	0	1	1	0	0	0
Other	6	5	10	7	12	1

Conclusions

In patients with gastric cancer, EIPL failed to reduce the risk of peritoneal recurrence and did not improve survival after surgery

– Safran H, et al

Study objective

DFS

To evaluate the efficacy and safety of trastuzumab + trimodality treatment for patients with esophageal adenocarcinoma and HER2 overexpression

• OS, pCR rate, safety

*Paclitaxel 50 mg/m² + carboplatin AUC2 (6 weeks) with radiation (50.4 Gy in 28 fractions)

– Safran H, et al

– Safran H, et al

Key result

OS

No significant differences in pCR rate: 27% trastuzumab + CRT and 29% for CRT (p=0.71)

- Safran H, et al
 - Key results (cont.)

Select grade ≥3 TRAEs, n (%)	Trastuzumab + CRT (n=95)	CRT (n=96)
Any	66 (69)	76 (79)
Hematologic	53 (56)	55 (57)
Cardiac disorders	5 (5)	3 (3)
GI disorders	28 (29)	20 (21)
Infections	11 (12)	7 (7)
Metabolism and nutrition	12 (13)	19 (20)

Conclusion

In patients with esophageal adenocarcinoma and HER2 overexpression, no improvements in DFS, OS or pCR or increased toxicity were observed with the addition of trastuzumab to trimodality treatment

Study objective

To evaluate the safety and efficacy of adjuvant nivolumab in patients with esophageal/GEJ cancer and residual disease after CRT and surgery

PRIMARY ENDPO

(n=794)

DFS

ECOG PS 0-1

• OS, safety

Placebo

(n=262)

PD/toxicity/withdrawal Total treatment duration ≤1 ye

Disease-free survival

Overall health status using EQ-5D-3L

Key results (cont.)

AEs, n (%)	Nivol (n=	umab 532)	Placebo (n=260)	
	Any grade	Grade 3-4	Any grade	Grade 3-4
Any AE	510 (96)	183 (34)	243 (93)	84 (32)
Any TRAE	376 (71)	71 (13)	119 (46)	15 (6)
Serious TRAE	40 (8)	29 (5)	7 (3)	3 (1)
TRAE leading to discontinuation	48 (9)	26 (5)	8 (3)	7 (3)
Selected TRAE				
Endocrine	93 (17)	5 (<1)	6 (2)	0
Gastrointestinal	91 (17)	4 (<1)	40 (15)	3 (1)
Hepatic	49 (9)	6 (1)	18 (7)	4 (2)
Pulmonary	23 (4)	6 (1)	4 (2)	1 (<1)
Renal	7 (1)	1 (<1)	2 (<1)	0
Skin	130 (24)	7 (1)	28 (11)	1 (<1)

Key results (cont.)							
	AEs, n (%)	Nivolumab (n=532)		Placebo (n=260)			
		Any grade	Grade 3-4	Any grade	Grade 3-4		
	TRAEs occurring in $\geq 10\%$ of patients in either arm						
	Fatigue	90 (17)	6 (1)	29 (11)	1 (<1)		
	Diarrhoea	88 (17)	2 (<1)	39 (15)	2 (<1)		
	Pruritus	53 (10)	2 (<1)	9 (3)	0		
	Rash	52 (10)	4 (<1)	10 (4)	1 (<1)		

Conclusion

In patients with esophageal/GEJ carcinoma and pathological residual disease after neoadjuvant CRT and surgery, adjuvant nivolumab demonstrated significant and clinically meaningful improvement in DFS compared with placebo and was generally well-tolerated

1421MO: Final results and subgroup analysis of the PETRARCA randomized phase II AIO trial: Perioperative trastuzumab and pertuzumab in combination with FLOT versus FLOT alone for HER2 positive resectable esophagogastric adenocarcinoma – AI-Batran S-E, et al

Study objective

To evaluate the efficacy and safety of trastuzumab + pertuzumab + FLOT in patients with resectable HER2-positive esophagogastric adenocarcinoma

pCR

*Trastuzumab 8 (loading)/6 mg/kg D1, 22, 43; [†]pertuzumab 840 mg D1, 22, 43; [‡]docetaxel 50 mg/m² + oxaliplatin 85 mg/m² + leucovorin 200 mg/m² + 5FU 2600 mg/m² D1, 15, 29, 43

DFS, OS, R0 rate, safety

1421MO: Final results and subgroup analysis of the PETRARCA randomized phase II AIO trial: Perioperative trastuzumab and pertuzumab in combination with FLOT versus FLOT alone for HER2 positive resectable esophagogastric adenocarcinoma – AI-Batran S-E, et al

Key results

	Tractuzumah +		_													
Outcome. n (%)	pertuzumab + FLOT	FLOT					FLC)T + T ·	+ P		FLOT					
	(n=40)	(n=41)	DFS		DFS, months			NR		26 (13, NR)		R)				
≤T1	17 (43)	11 (27)		(95%CI)												
T2	8 (20)	9 (22)		HR (95%CI); p- value		0.576 (0.278, 1.13		139);	p=0.14	4						
ТЗ	14 (29)	17 (41)					Ме	dian f	ollow-ı	Jp: 22	month	S				
Т4	0 (0)	3 (7)														
NO	27 (68)	16 (39)	N	ך ^{1.0}	_	<u>L_</u>	_			li Cer	isorec	1				
R0 rate (ITT)	37 (93)	37 (90)	abilit	0.8-			<u> </u>	L								
pCR	14 (35)	5 (12)	roba	0.6-			-	····			• • • •	-				
p-value	0.02		al pi	0.4 -					L	···1						
			<u>Viv</u>	<u></u>		FLO	T + T	+ P								
			Sur	0.27		FLO	T									
				0-		6	10	10	24	20	26					
					0	0		١ŏ	24	30	30					

Disease-free survival, months

1421MO: Final results and subgroup analysis of the PETRARCA randomized phase II AIO trial: Perioperative trastuzumab and pertuzumab in combination with FLOT versus FLOT alone for HER2 positive resectable esophagogastric adenocarcinoma – Al-Batran S-E, et al

Key results (cont.)

Grade ≥3 AEs, n (%)	Trastuzumab + pertuzumab + FLOT (n=39)	FLOT (n=40)
Any	33 (85)	30 (75)
Leukopenia	9 (23)	5 (13)
Diarrhea	16 (41)	2 (5)
Fatigue	9 (23)	6 (15)

Conclusions

- In patients with resectable esophagogastric adenocarcinoma, adding trastuzumab + pertuzumab to FLOT provided significant improvement in the pCR, but not R0 resection
- **•** There was a higher incidence of AEs in the combination arm

1424MO: Perioperative FLOT plus ramucirumab versus FLOT alone for resectable esophagogastric adenocarcinoma– Updated results and subgroup analyses of the randomized phase II/III trial RAMSES/FLOT7 of the German AIO and Italian GOIM – AI-Batran S-E, et al

Study objective

To evaluate the efficacy and safety of perioperative ramucirumab + FLOT in patients with resectable esophagogastric adenocarcinoma

R

1:1

Key patient inclusion criteria

- Resectable gastric or GEJ adenocarcinoma (≥cT2 or cN+)
- No distant metastases
- HER2 negative
- ECOG PS ≤1 (n=180)

PRIMARY ENDPOINT

• Response (near or pCR)

Ramucirumab 8 mg/kg q2w + FLOT* (4 cycles) then surgery then ramucirumab 8 mg/kg q2w + FLOT* (4 cycles) followed by ramucirumab (16 cycles) (n=89)

Stratification

- Tumour site (GEJ vs. gastric)
- Stage (T1/2 vs. T3/4 and/or N+)
- Histology (intestinal vs. diffuse/mixed or unknown)
- FLOT* (4 cycles) then surgery followed by FLOT* (4 cycles) (n=91)

SECONDARY ENDPOINTS

• R0 rate, PFS, OS, safety

*Four pre- and postoperative cycles of docetaxel 50 mg/m² + oxaliplatin 85 mg/m² + leucovorin 200 mg/m² + 5FU 2600 mg/m² q2w

Al-Batran S-E, et al. Ann Oncol 2020;31(suppl):abstr 1424M0

1424MO: Perioperative FLOT plus ramucirumab versus FLOT alone for resectable esophagogastric adenocarcinoma– Updated results and subgroup analyses of the randomized phase II/III trial RAMSES/FLOT7 of the German AIO and Italian GOIM – AI-Batran S-E, et al

Key results

Outcome, n (%)	Ramucirumab + FLOT (n=86)	FLOT (n=87)	
≤T1	17 (20)	22 (25)	
T2	12 (14)	10 (12)	
ТЗ	49 (57)	33 (38)	
T4	6 (7)	12 (14)	
NO	43 (50)	34 (39)	
RO rate, %	97	83	
p-value	0.0049		
RO rate in subgroups, %			
cT4 (8 of 8 vs. 1 of 4)	100	25	
Diffuse type	95	77	

Al-Batran S-E, et al. Ann Oncol 2020;31(suppl):abstr 1424M0

1424MO: Perioperative FLOT plus ramucirumab versus FLOT alone for resectable esophagogastric adenocarcinoma– Updated results and subgroup analyses of the randomized phase II/III trial RAMSES/FLOT7 of the German AIO and Italian GOIM – AI-Batran S-E, et al

Conclusion

In patients with resectable esophagogastric adenocarcinoma, adding ramucirumab to FLOT significantly improved the RO rate

Summary

Perioperative therapy Gastric Cancer, 2020

- Adjuvant chemoradiation therapy use has declined; when used, needs significant modification
- Neoadjuvant FLOT is the standard of care in 2020, For fit patients, performance status 0-1
- Neoadjuvant FOLFOX, could be used in older patients with performance status 1-2.
- Role of neoadjuvant Xeloda or 5-FU/radiation therapy less defined, but could be used in patients with Locally advanced, borderline resectability.
- Adjuvant XELOX alone is an option as well. S1 not available in the US.
- Immunotherapy/VEGF/Anti Her2 in neoadjuvant setting with FLOT, still in the early phase clinical trials.